

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,064	10/23/2003	Phillip W. Barth	10021090-1	2224
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Legal Department, DL429 Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 7599 Loveland, CO 80537-0599			EXAMINER	
			DANG, TRUNG Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2823	THE EN TONIBER
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	. <u> </u>	Amplication No.	Applicant(a)				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•			
Office Action Summary		10/693,064		BARTH, PHILLIP W.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAII INC DATE of this commun	Trung Dang	2823	address			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on the cover	sneet with the correspondence	address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howe nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory mini atutory period will apply and will expire S will, by statute, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be considered tir SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	2b) $oxtimes$ This action is non-fina	ıl.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>27-34</u> is/are Claim(s) <u>is/are allowed.</u> Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-11,13-18 and 21-26</u> is Claim(s) <u>5,12,19 and 20</u> is/are object Claim(s) <u>are subject to restrict</u>	re withdrawn from considera s/are rejected. sted to.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by th The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 March 20</u> 0		h)∏ objected to by the Examir	ner			
10)[2]	Applicant may not request that any obje						
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	the correction is required if the	drawing(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) <u>□</u> a)ĺ	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been recei documents have been recei of the priority documents ha nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this Nation a)).	al Stage			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 :	nterview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/23/03</u> .	TO-948) PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (P Other:	PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/693,064 Page 2

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of the Group I invention, claims 1-26 in the reply filed on 2/10/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examination of the claims of Group II invention together with the claims of the Group I invention should impose little, if any, additional burden on the Examiner. This is not found persuasive because such allegation relied on the unsupported assumption that the search and examination of the two group would be coextensive. However, the issues of product and method claims are divergent. Furthermore, there may be some overlap in the searches of the two group, but there is no reason to believe that the search would be identical. Therefore, based on the additional work involved in searching and examination of the two distinct inventions together, restriction of distinct inventions is clearly proper.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2823

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-4, 6-11, 13, 15, 17, and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bloom et al. (US 6,863,833).

With reference to Fig.1A and its description, the prior art teaches a nanoaperture chip comprising:

a first region comprising a diagram 16, the diagram comprising a silicon nitride material, the diagram having a top surface and a bottom surface; a substrate region 18 comprising a semiconductor material supporting the first region 16, the semiconductor material comprising a rigid frame laterally surrounding the diagram (Fig. 1B);

a substrate cavity region 36 beneath the diagram;

a third region 12 comprising a third insulator material, the third region being disposed atop the diagram, the third region 12 being substantially thicker than the diagram 16 and having a third cavity 22 therethrough exposing a portion of the top surface of the diagram, the exposed portion of the top surface of the diagram being suitable for fabrication of one of a microscale and a nanoscale device 24 (see col. 5, lines 38-39 for the nanoaperture as small as a few nm to a mm in diameter. See col. 7, lines 31-33 for the material of the diagram 16).

For claim 4, after the substrate cavity 36 is formed, the exposed semiconductor is oxidized to form about 1.1 micron of oxide (col. 9, lines 23-26, col. 10, lines 52-55, and 7), thus an oxide layer is formed on the sidewall 38 of the recess 36, which oxide layer reads on the claimed second region.

For claim 6, the oxide layer noted above reads on the claimed fifth material.

For claim 7, silicon nitride layer 20 reads on the claimed sixth region because the term "atop" is a relative term depending on the orientation of the structure. For example, when the structure of Fig. 1A is rotated upside down, layer 20 becomes atop substrate 18.

For claims 24 and 25, see Fig. 1C and col. 6, lines 44-61 for the claimed electrical lead.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for 4. all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14, 16, 18, 24, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over bloom et al. as above in view of Fishman et al. (US 2003/0032946).

Bloom teaches a structure as described above, except for the thicknesses of the diagram 16, the third region 12, the second region (the oxide layer mentioned above). However, the determination of such thicknesses would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because it is well settle that the difference in thickness will not support the patentability of the subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such thickness is critical. "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable range by routine experimentation." See In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955); In re Hoeschele, 406 F.2d 1403, 160 USPQ 809 (CCPA 1969); Merck & Co. Inc. v. Biocraft

Application/Control Number: 10/693,064

Art Unit: 2823

Laboratories Inc., 874 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ2d (Fed.cir), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 975 (1989); In re Kulling, 897 F.2d 1147, 14 USPQ2d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 1990); and In re Geisler, 116 F.3d 1465, 43 USPQ2d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Furthermore, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed thickness range or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in the claim, the applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d, 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Page 6

For claims 24 and 26, Bloom differs from the claim in not disclosing a microfluidic lead as claimed. Fishman teaches a microfluidic channel 76 that is integrated with a nanoaperture chip similar to that of Bloom to provide fluid flow to and from recess 36 and nanoaperture 24 (para. [0112] and Fig. 7B).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Bloom by intergrating the microfluidic channel 76 comprising microfluidic leads 80, 82 as suggested by Fishman because doing so would form a mean to provide fluid to recess 36 of the nanoaperture chip 10.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/693,064

Art Unit: 2823

5. Claims 5, 12, 19-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 7

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 5, 12, 19-20 are objected because prior art of record does not teach or suggest the claimed structure regarding a fourth region as set forth in the claims.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trung Dang whose telephone number is 571-272-1857. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Friday 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 571-272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/693,064

Art Unit: 2823

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Trung Dang

Primary Examiner

Page 8

Art Unit 2823

4/15/05